## For the Northern District of California

28

1		
2		
3	NOT FOR CITATION	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
10	Plaintiff,	No. C 02-388 PJH
11	V.	ORDER REQUIRING GOVERNMENT RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S
12	ALCUIN OREGANA,	MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY OF
13		TOOLMARK EXAMINER
14	——————————————————————————————————————	
15	In its pretrial papers submitted De	ecember 5, 2005, the government notified the court
16	that it intends to introduce testimony from toolmark examiner, Terry L. Coddington. Previously, the defendant filed a motion to exclude the testimony on <i>Daubert</i> grounds. The government did not respond to the motion on the merits, but simply asserted that the parties had decided to put the issue "on hold." Since the testimony is now at issue, the government is ordered to file and serve a response on the merits <b>no later than noon on Friday, December 9, 2005.</b> Given the deadlines in the case, <b>no reply will be permitted.</b>	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	II IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated: December 6, 2005	0/22-
25		DUVILIO I HAMILTON
26		PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge
27		